Astrocytes have classically been thought to support various physiological functions in the central nervous system, including the establishment of the blood-brain barrier and the regulation of the extracellular ion balance. However, evidence is emerging that astrocytes also directly mediate neuronal excitability (Araque et al., 2014), thus playing a role in cognition and behavior. In addition to controlling the metabolic milieu of a neuron, astrocytes also directly secrete chemical transmitters, including glutamate, TNF-α, and ATP (Araque et al., 2014). In line with these findings are several recent papers that showed that astrocytes can directly affect cognition, including reward-related behaviors. For example, astrocytes mediate approach and avoidance through action in the ventral tegmental area (Gomez et al., 2019), depression-like behavior through the lateral habenula (Cui et al., 2018), and the rewarding effects of morphine through the striatum (Skupio et al., 2020).

While relatively little is known about the function of astrocytes in the reward system, the role of the neurotransmitter dopamine (DA) in reward-related behaviors is well established. In particular, midbrain DA neurons projecting to the nucleus accumbens (NAc) in the ventral striatum are known to play a key role in motivation and reward learning. Within the NAc, DA directly binds to D₁-like or D₂-like receptors on medium spiny neurons (MSNs)—the GABAergic neurons that compose the majority of cells in the striatum—to establish motivated action through basal ganglia output structures (Bariselli et al., 2019).

In addition to the direct effects of DA on striatal MSNs, it has long been known that DA affects local glutamate release within the NAc, which is secreted by axon terminals of corticlimbic brain structures, including the amygdala, prefrontal cortex, and hippocampus. For instance, slice electrophysiology experiments have shown that extracellularly applied dopamine depresses glutamatergic neurotransmission within the NAc (Harvey and Lacey, 1997), a phenomenon that is thought to be involved in the development of drug addiction (Wolf, 2016). However, the exact mechanism behind this DA-induced glutamatergic synaptic depression was not fully understood. In this issue of Neuron, Corkrum et al. (2020) demonstrate that these effects are mediated by local astrocytes, forming a tripartite synaptic complex with glutamatergic projection neurons and MSNs within the NAc core that is under direct control of midbrain DA cells (Figure 1).

In an elegant set of follow-up experiments, the authors studied the neuronal cascade downstream of the astrocytic D₁ receptor, to define the functional role of these receptors on the tripartite synaptic complex. Toward this aim, the authors simultaneously recorded from astrocytes and MSNs ex vivo to demonstrate that the increase in astrocytic Ca²⁺ (observed after DA release) scales with the level of depression of glutamatergic synapses. This shows, perhaps unexpectedly, that astrocytes might be directly involved in regulating glutamatergic neurotransmission in the NAc core. To test this notion, the authors showed that chemogenetic activation of astrocytes alone was able to evoke depression of presynaptic glutamatergic terminals, demonstrating that astrocyte activation is sufficient for DA-dependent modulation of glutamatergic synaptic physiology. In addition, DA-evoked glutamatergic synaptic depression was abolished after interfering with the normal functioning of astrocytic D₁ receptor signaling, including the use of a mouse line with impaired astrocytic Ca²⁺ dynamics and the genetic deletion of astrocytic D₁ receptors. This suggests that astrocytes are also necessary for DA-dependent depression of glutamatergic synapses.

After establishing the necessity and sufficiency of D₁ receptor signaling on the astrocytic membrane to modulate glutamatergic signaling, the authors go one step further down the neuronal cascade by identifying the receptor target on the glutamatergic presynapse in the NAc, downstream of the astrocyte. By combining ex vivo optogenetics, calcium imaging, electrophysiology, and pharmacology, the authors provided compelling evidence that the effects are mediated by presynaptic activation of the adenosine A₁ receptor by ATP and its metabolic product adenosine released from astrocytes.
Finally, the authors emphasized the relevance of their findings by demonstrating a role for astrocytic D1 receptors in behaviors that are known to rely on DA. They did this by showing that activation of astrocytic D1 receptors contributes to the locomotor hyperactivity observed after a systemic injection of amphetamine—a psychostimulant that increases monoaminergic transmission in the brain. Thus, impairing D1 receptor signaling in astrocytes within the NAc reduced hyperlocomotion of mice that were injected with amphetamine, whereas it had no effect on movement in animals that were injected with saline. This result points toward a role of astrocytic D1 receptors in mediating aspects of reward-related behaviors.

Together, Corkrum et al. (2020) highlight an unexpected role for astrocytes in DA-dependent synaptic plasticity in the NAc. By carefully examining the action of DA on the tripartite synapse, the authors demonstrate that DA evokes depression of excitatory synaptic transmission onto striatal MSNs through consecutive action on the astrocytic D1 receptor, intra-astrocytic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signaling, and action of ATP through its metabolite adenosine on the A1 receptor on presynaptic glutamatergic terminals.

As with many innovative papers, the findings of Corkrum et al. (2020) open many avenues for future research. The most important one in this regard is to what extent astrocytes in the NAc contribute to the development of addiction. Importantly, the authors show that amphetamine-evoked hyperlocomotion is reduced, but not completely abolished, after disruption of the D1-receptor machinery in astrocytes, indicating that astrocytes are just one of several elements that contribute to the acute behavioral effects of amphetamine. Does deletion of astrocytic D1 receptors also prevent (or attenuate) the development of addictive-like behaviors in a drug self-administration paradigm? And what is the role of astrocytic D1 receptors in the physiological processes in which DA is involved, such as reinforcement learning?

Another important follow-up study would be to assess whether the effects observed by the authors extend beyond the core subregion of the NAc. The NAc comprises at least two additional subregions, the NAc medial shell and NAc lateral shell, which have been shown to be heterogeneous in terms of anatomy, connectivity, and function (de Jong et al., 2019), and the direct rewarding effects of psychostimulants are usually attributed to the NAc medial shell. In addition, the experimental setup of the current study involved electrical stimulation of excitatory inputs to the NAC, and consequently, the identity of their anatomical origin remains unknown. Different NAC afferents are also known to target distinct NAc subregions and might play different roles in the behavioral responses to drugs of abuse (Pascoli et al., 2014). Thus, future experiments might explore whether the DAergic modulation of the tripartite synaptic complex occurs throughout the NAc and whether differences exist between glutamatergic afferents from distinct brain regions. In conclusion, the findings by Corkrum et al. (2020) provide important new insights into the diverse modulatory effects of DA on the brain’s reward circuitry and might spark a search for an entirely new branch of astrocyte-directed pharmacotherapies for addiction.
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By exploiting an arsenal of state-of-the-art imaging and genetic manipulation approaches, in this issue of Neuron, Marcus et al. (2020) reveal that the synapse-specific breakdown of endocannabinoid signaling in the prelimbic prefrontal cortex is a core neurobiological substrate for stress-induced, anxiety-like behaviors.

Everyone can have a bad day. But although most of us are able to cope with the everyday challenges of physical and psychological stressors most of the time, a failure in resilience could transform into a mental collapse, as it so vividly illustrated in the movie “Falling Down.” William Foster (Michael Douglas) is just an ordinary man who recently lost his office job (but still dresses in business attire). He is in a rush to reach his daughter’s birthday, despite receiving a restraining order against him after his divorce. But he becomes trapped in his car on the 101 freeway in the toughest-ever traffic jam in Los Angeles that coincidentally happens during a midsummer heat wave. As the final straw, the car’s air conditioning system breaks down, symbolically reflecting his own nervous breakdown. He abandons his car and wanders off the freeway, much to the dismay of the other drivers. These emotionally charged stressors, together with a subsequent series of imminent physical threats (he even survives a drive-by gang shooting), finally culminate in a one-man rampage with a tragic end. The catharsis for the emphatic spectator stems from the well-illustrated dichotomy between Foster’s cognitive decisions aiming to protect himself and to restore his family life that are perfectly rational from his own perspective and Foster’s severe mental distress driving his increasingly brutal acts (leading even to a murder) that is unacceptable from the society’s perspective. What is the underlying neurobiological process that suddenly switches Foster’s behavioral response to stressful life events and why millions of others who undergo similarly frustrating situations almost every day remain resilient to stress exposure? Unveiling the stress-induced neurobiological switch from which this type of behavioral dichotomy emerges is very difficult. In a broader context and as conceptualized first by Hans (János) Selye (Selye, 1936), although the acute stress response is an evolutionarily conserved and essential defense mechanism for our survival, stress exposure can also lead to the development of diseases, including mental disorders, such as anxiety, depression, substance use disorders, psychosis, or post-traumatic stress disorders in vulnerable individuals. Understanding how and why certain physical and psychological stressors can trigger either adaptive or maladaptive molecular, cellular, and circuit-level plasticity processes remains a major challenge for both neuroscience and psychiatry that renders the development of novel treatment approaches for mental health very difficult.

The difficulty primarily arises from the numerous molecular and cellular complexity of the extensively interconnected stress-related brain circuits that are distributed throughout the brain, such as the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis, several amygdala nuclei and the extended amygdala, the ventral hippocampus, and distinct areas of the prefrontal cortex. For example, the Allen Institute distinguishes 61 GABAergic, 56 glutamatergic, and 16 non-neuronal transcriptional cell types in the mouse neocortex that each express a different set of several thousand genes (Tasic et al., 2018). These cell types receive synaptic afferent inputs from various extracortical and intracortical sources and provide synaptic efferent outputs to local microcircuit elements and several distinct and often distant brain...